

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.). FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,426	•••	12/31/2003	Jong-Tae Kwak	51876P561	9582	
8791	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY	SOKOL	OFF TAYLOR &	COX, CASSANDRA F			
12400 WILS	SHIRE BO	ULEVARD				
SEVENTH I	FLOOR		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES. CA	90025-1030		2816		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A·H
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/749,426	KWAK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cassandra Cox	2816	<u>.</u> _
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a low within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 L	December 2003.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	1
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-12}$ is/are pending in the application	٦.		_
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
	-	or election requirement.		·
	on Papers			
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examina			
	The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/s			•
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			101/4)
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	, , ,	
Ť	•	Adminor. Noto the diagnos		02 .
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			,
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the Copies	ts have been received. ts have been received in A	application No	ge
	application from the International Burea			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment	(e)			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	9

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because the claim is misdescriptive. It appears to the examiner from examination of the specification and the drawings that the first delay line does not receive the first delay control signal (UPDN1, as labeled in the specification and drawings) rather it receives the first comparative signal (PD1) and the second delay line receives either the second comparative signal (PD2) or the first delay control signal (UPDN1). According to applicant's specification the first and second delay control blocks generate the first and second control signals, respectively. The first and second delay lines generate the first and second delay control signals. Additionally, claim 6 is indefinite because it is misdescriptive. Applicant's claims and specification are contradictory in that the second delay control unit (421) receives the first delay control signal (UPDN1) or the second comparative signal (PD2) to generate a second delay enable signal (DL2 EN) and a delay line up-down signal (UPDN2) as the second delay control signal. It (the second delay control unit (421) does not receive the second delay control signal (UPDN2) nor does it generate the first delay line enable signal (DL1 EN) or the first delay control signal (UPDN1). It appears to the examiner that the language

Application/Control Number: 10/749,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

used in the specification and the drawings does not correspond to the language used in the claims. Applicant refers to signal DL2_EN as the first delay line enable signal in the claims, but in the specification this signal is referred to as the second delay line enable signal. In the claims the up-down signal (UPDN2) is referred to as the first control signal, while in the specification it is referred to as the second control signal. The same applies to claims 7-9. In reference to claim 10, the direct phase detector of the second control delay block generates the second comparative signal (PD2) not the second delay control signal (UPDN2). In reference to claim 11, the direct phase detector of the first control delay block generates the first comparative signal (PD2) not the first delay control signal (UPDN1). Claims 2-5 and 12 are also rejected due to the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Correction or clarification is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1-12 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 4 wherein the delay locked loop device comprises a second delay line (222) for receiving the external clock signal and a second comparative signal (PD2) or the first delay control signal (UPDN1) to generate a second internal clock signal (INTCLK2) in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. This reason for allowable subject matter is based on the examiner's current understanding of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,426

Art Unit: 2816

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Cox whose telephone number is 571-272-1741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM and on.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CC February 1, 2005

MOTHY P. CALLAHAN
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800